

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/082,228	TATSUURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edna Wong	1753	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Edna Wong. (3)_____.

(2) Melanie L. McCollum. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: Melcher et al. (US Patent No. 4,217,183).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative discussed that the pulse laser of the present invention distinguishes over Melcher in that Melcher uses a chopper to pulse the light of the laser beam and Applicants' laser light does not need such to do (pulse). The Examiner will consider this in Applicants' next Response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required